

CHAIRPERSON
DEBBIE DE LEON

VICE-CHAIRPERSON
DALE COPEDEGE

VICE-CHAIRPERSON PRO-TEM
RANDY SCHAFFER

JUDICIARY COMMITTEE
REBECCA BAHAR-COOK, CHAIR
BRIAN McGRAIN
MARC THOMAS
CAROL KOENIG
DEB NOLAN
RANDY SCHAFFER

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

P.O. Box 319, Mason, Michigan 48854 Telephone (517) 676-7200 Fax (517) 676-7264

THE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE WILL MEET ON THURSDAY, MAY 28, 2009 AT 7:30 P.M., IN THE PERSONNEL CONFERENCE ROOM (D & E), HUMAN SERVICES BUILDING, 5303 S. CEDAR, LANSING.

Agenda

Call to Order

Approval of the [May 14, 2009 Minutes](#)

Additions to the Agenda

Limited Public Comment

1. Controller/Administrator's Office
 - a. Resolution Updating [Various Fees](#) for County Services
 - b. Resolution to Transfer the [Jury Administration](#) Duties from the Ingham County Management Information Systems Department to the Thirtieth Judicial Circuit Court and to Appoint the Circuit Court Administrator as the Ingham County Jury Administrator (*Tabled from May 14, 2009 Meeting*)
 - c. Resolution Affirming the Powers of the [Jury Board](#)
2. Circuit Court - David Easterday & Rhonda Swayze – Discussion on Pam Faris's [Recommendations](#) from Judiciary Committee's April 16, 2009 Meeting
3. Circuit Court/Family Division
 - a. Presentation - Implementing Change and Opportunities Necessary for Success (I.C.O.N.S.)
 - b. Resolution to Recognize the Implementing Change and Opportunities Necessary for Success ([I.C.O.N.S.](#)) Program
 - c. Resolution Honoring [Mai-Doua Xiong](#)

Announcements

Public Comment

Adjournment

**PLEASE TURN OFF CELL PHONES OR OTHER ELECTRONIC
DEVICES OR SET TO MUTE OR VIBRATE TO AVOID
DISRUPTION DURING THE MEETING**

The County of Ingham will provide necessary reasonable auxiliary aids and services, such as interpreters for the hearing impaired and audio tapes of printed materials being considered at the meeting for the visually impaired, for individuals with disabilities at the meeting upon five (5) working days notice to the County of Ingham. Individuals with disabilities requiring auxiliary aids or services should contact the County of Ingham in writing or by calling the following: Ingham County Board of Commissioners, P.O. Box 319, Mason, MI 48854 Phone: (517) 676-7200. A quorum of the Board of Commissioners may be in attendance at this meeting. Meeting information is also available on line at www.ingham.org

JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

May 14, 2009

Minutes

Members Present: Rebecca Bahar-Cook, Brian McGrain, Marc Thomas, Carol Koenig, Deb Nolan, Randy Schafer and Board Chairperson DeLeon

Members Absent: None

Others Present: John Neilsen, Mark Grebner and Judge Boyd

The meeting was called to order by Chairperson Bahar-Cook at 7:30 p.m. in the Personnel Conference Room of the Human Services Building, 5303 S. Cedar, Lansing.

Approval of the April 30, 2009 Minutes

MOVED BY COMM. MCGRAIN, SUPPORTED BY COMM. NOLAN, TO APPROVE THE APRIL 30 MINUTES AS AMENDED. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY. Absent: Comm. Koenig

The Minutes were amended as follows:

Page 2, last paragraph: "She then stated Mr. Rauch from the State has provided . . ." was changed to ". . . Mr. Rauch from the State will provide . . ."

Page 3, second paragraph: "Ms. Winslow stated there are only three youth in out of state . . ." This was changed to "Ms. Winslow stated there are only three youths in *Maxey Boys Training school* . . ."

Dr. Davis should be changed to Dr. Davidson throughout the document.

Page 4, middle of the page: "Truancy Court has 50-55 kids at this time." This was changed to "Truancy Court has approximately 150 active cases at this time."

Additions to the Agenda: None

Limited Public Comment

Judge Boyd stated Anethia Brewer, previous employee, spent approximately 50% of her time for CourtView issues. He then stated Mr. Dillon has been working with the Court employees do develop an employee handbook. Judge Boyd also stated the Court had a fire drill recently. During this drill, it was discovered that the fire alarm was not connected to the Sheriff's Office. This issue has been resolved. The Court has not had the time to address these issues due to CourtView problems.

(Comm. Koenig arrived at 7:35 p.m.)

1. Interviews – Jury Board

The Committee interviewed Thelma Moran and Ed Cook for a position on the Jury Board.

2. Controller/Administrator's Office

- a. Resolution to Transfer the Jury Administration Duties from the Ingham County Management Information Systems Department to the Thirtieth Judicial Circuit Court and to Appoint the Circuit Court Administrator as the Ingham County Jury Administrator

MOVED BY COMM. NOLAN, SUPPORTED BY COMM. SCHAFER, TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION TO TRANSFER THE JURY ADMINISTRATION DUTIES FROM THE INGHAM COUNTY MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT TO THE THIRTIETH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT AND TO APPOINT THE CIRCUIT COURT ADMINISTRATOR AS THE INGHAM COUNTY JURY ADMINISTRATOR.

Mr. Neilsen stated the SCAO determined the Circuit Court Administrator can legally serve as the Ingham County Jury Administrator. This situation is common in many other Counties in this State.

Comm. Thomas expressed his concern that this Resolution seems to tell the Circuit Court it is in charge of the jury process. Comm. Bahar-Cook stated it is more efficient to have the position at the Court than in the Clerk's Office.

Comm. Nolan concurred with Comm. Thomas' concern. Mr. Neilsen stated having the Jury Administrator in the Court is fairly common. The Court will be before this Committee in two weeks; however, if this Committee approves the Resolution tonight, the Court may not be here in two weeks. Comm. Thomas suggested the Resolution contain language that would indicate the jury functions are under the control of the Jury Board.

Comm. Nolan stated the Resolution should be tabled until the Court explains to this Committee what kind of changes they plan to do regarding the jury process.

Comm. Bahar-Cook asked if there is a job description for the Jury Administrative position.

Mr. Neilsen said the intent of the Resolution is to move the Jury Administrator position to the Circuit Court.

Comm. McGrain asked if the Resolution should be approved tonight. Mr. Neilsen said if it is not approved tonight, the position will not be posted for another two weeks.

Comm. Koenig stated leaving the position under the control of the Controller leaves it under our control until our concerns are resolved.

MOVED BY COMM. THOMAS, SUPPORTED BY COMM. NOLAN, TO TABLE THE RESOLUTION UNTIL THE NEXT MEETING.

Mr. Neilsen stated there has been a lot of progress based on the consultant's suggestions.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

- b. Resolution to Adopt the 2010 Juvenile Justice Community Agency Process Calendar

MOVED BY COMM. NOLAN, SUPPORTED BY COMM. SCHAFFER, TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION TO ADOPT THE 2010 JUVENILE JUSTICE COMMUNITY AGENCY PROCESS CALENDAR WITH A \$100,000 AMOUNT IN THE SECOND WHEREAS PARAGRAPH.

Mr. Neilsen said this is the fourth year of this process. Currently, there are three agencies receiving funding from this process. He then informed the Committee the County is now spending all of the Juvenile Justice Millage funds as it comes into the County.

The County has reserved \$100,000 for this process for the first three years. Mr. Neilsen stated he does not know who will apply for the funds for 2010 at this time.

Comm. Nolan explained the County established this process to meet the continuum of care for juveniles for the Justice Juvenile Millage.

Comm. Bahar-Cook stated the County will not spend down all of the JJM funds at comes in all of the time. Mr. Neilsen stated there is approximately \$440,000 in the JJM fund balance at this time.

MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

MOVED BY COMM. THOMAS, SUPPORTED BY COMM. NOLAN, TO RECOMMEND THE APPOINTMENT OF ED COOK TO THE JURY BOARD. MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Announcements: None

Public Comment: None

The meeting adjourned at 8:25 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Debra Neff

RESOLUTION STAFF REVIEW DATE May 21, 2009

Agenda Item Title: Resolution Updating Various Fees for County Services

Submitted by: Controller/Administrator's Office

Committees: LE X , JD X , HS X , CS X , Finance X

Summary of Proposed Action: This resolution will authorize the adjustment of various fees for county services to be effective for the Health Department on October 1, 2009 and for all other departments on January 1, 2010. These adjustments are based on an update of the "Cost of Services Analysis" completed by Maximus in 2002. Updated costs were then multiplied by the target percent of cost to be recovered by the fee for services as identified by the Board of Commissioners. Input was solicited from county departments and offices as part of the process of making these recommended adjustments. A full analysis of each fee was presented to all committees at a previous round of meetings.

Financial Implications: If the fee adjustments are passed as proposed, additional annual revenue would total approximately \$214,925. This total changed slightly from the \$229,161 calculated for the previous round of meetings due to the update of several of the units associated with certain fees. Any additional revenue will be recognized in the 2010 Controller Recommended Budget.

Some of the units for Environmental Health fees remain at zero due to the fact that these are for penalties for non-conformance of administrative actions or failure to comply with licensing or permit requirements. Operators will meet requirements 99% of the time. The others are for services offered or licenses for unique operation.

Other Implications: As directed by the Board of Commissioners, the Controller's Office has incorporated the update of county fees into the annual budget process. This will allow the County to annually and incrementally adjust fees based on changing costs, rather than to make large adjustments at one time.

Staff Recommendation: MM JN TL TM X JC

Staff recommends approval of the resolution.

Introduced by the Finance Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION UPDATING VARIOUS FEES FOR COUNTY SERVICES

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners set various fees for county services in Resolution 02-155 based on information and recommendations of the *Maximus Cost of Services Analysis* completed in 2002; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners also established the percent of the cost of providing the services which should be recovered by such fees, referred to in this process as a “target percent”; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners has directed the Controller/Administrator’s Office to establish a process for the annual review of these fees and target percents; and

WHEREAS, this process begins with the calculation of a cost increase factor, which is equal to the previous three year average increase in the General Fund adopted budget for the appropriate departments; and

WHEREAS, this cost increase factor is applied to the previous year’s calculated cost and multiplied by the target percent and in most cases rounded to the lower full dollar amount in order to arrive at a preliminary recommended fee for the upcoming year; and

WHEREAS, in cases where the calculated cost multiplied by target percent is much higher than the current fee, the fee will be recommended to increase gradually each year until the full cost multiplied by target percent is reached, in order to avoid any drastic increases in fees; and

WHEREAS, in cases where the calculated cost multiplied by target percent is lower than the current fee, no fee increase will be recommended for that year; and

WHEREAS, after initial recommendations are made by the Controller/Administrator, these recommendations are distributed to the affected offices and departments, in order to receive their input; and

WHEREAS, after reviewing the input from the affected offices and departments, the Controller/Administrator makes final recommendations to the Board of Commissioners; and

WHEREAS, the Controller/Administrator’s Office has finished its annual review of these fees and recommended increases where appropriate based on increased costs of providing services supported by these fees and the percent of the cost of providing the services which should be covered by such fees as established by the Board of Commissioners; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners has reviewed the Controller/Administrator recommendations including the target percentages, along with recommendations of the various county offices, departments and staff.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Commissioners authorizes or encourages the following fee increases in Attachments A and B at the rates established effective January 1, 2010 with the exception of the Health Department, where new rates will be effective October 1, 2009.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the fees within major Health Department services are not included on the attachments and were not set by the policy above, but rather through policy established in Resolutions 05-166 and 05-242.

ATTACHMENT A: FEES WHICH ARE ADJUSTED				
Location of Service	Fee Description	2009 Fee	2010 Fee	Target Percent
Clerk	Marriage Solemnize	\$25.00	\$26.00	100.0%
Drain Comm.	Photography	\$260.00	\$265.00	100.0%
Drain Comm.	Topography	\$525.00	\$530.00	100.0%
Drain Comm.	Preliminary Comm. Site Plan Review	\$610.00	\$650.00	75.0%
Drain Comm.	Preliminary Plat Review	\$610.00	\$650.00	75.0%
Drain Comm.	Plat&Comm Drainage Review-First acre	\$610.00	\$650.00	100.0%
Drain Comm.	Plat&Comm Drainage Review-Add'l acre	\$70.00	\$75.00	100.0%
Drain Comm.	Plat Drain Administration Fee	\$1,875.00	\$1,950.00	75.0%
Drain Comm.	Drain Crossing Permits, Review (Commercial)	\$450.00	\$460.00	100.0%
Drain Comm.	Tap-in Permit - Commercial	\$375.00	\$385.00	75.0%
Drain Comm.	Soil Erosion Permit - Commercial - 12 mo. duration		\$570+57/addl	100.0%
Drain Comm.	Soil Erosion Permit - Commercial - 9 mo. duration		\$500+50/addl	100.0%
Drain Comm.	Soil Erosion Permit - Commercial - 6 mo. duration		\$430+43/addl	100.0%
Drain Comm.	Soil Erosion Permit -Residential-9 month duration	\$210.00	\$220.00	75.0%
Drain Comm.	Soil Erosion Permit-Residential -6 month duration	\$180.00	\$190.00	75.0%
Drain Comm.	Commercial Minor Disturbance Soil Erosion -	\$270.00	\$290.00	75.0%
Drain Comm.	Residential Minor Disturbance Soil Erosion -	\$40.00	\$45.00	75.0%
Drain Comm.	Violation and Cease&Desist Order	\$270.00	\$280.00	100.0%
Equalization	Pre-2005 Paper Maps/Aerial photos (blueprints)	\$10.00	\$11.00	100.0%
Equalization	Digitally Prod Paper Maps-8.5" x 11"	\$5.25	\$5.00	100.0%
Equalization	Digitally Prod Paper Maps-11" x 17"	\$10.50	\$11.00	100.0%
Equalization	Digitally Prod Paper Maps-17" x 22"	\$15.50	\$16.00	100.0%
Equalization	Digitally Prod Paper Maps- 22" x 34"	\$21.00	\$22.00	100.0%
Equalization	Digitally Prod Paper Maps-28" x 40"	\$26.00	\$27.00	100.0%
Equalization	Digitally Prod Paper Maps-34" x 44"	\$31.00	\$33.00	100.0%
Equalization	Maps w/2005 Digital Photo Layer-8.5" x 11"	\$10.50	\$11.00	100.0%
Equalization	Maps w/2005 Digital Photo Layer-11" x 17"	\$21.00	\$22.00	100.0%
Equalization	Maps w/2005 Digital Photo Layer-17" x 22"	\$31.00	\$33.00	100.0%
Equalization	Maps w/2005 Digital Photo Layer-22" x 34"	\$42.00	\$44.00	100.0%
Equalization	Maps w/2005 Digital Photo Layer-28" x 40"	\$52.00	\$55.00	100.0%
Equalization	Maps w/2005 Digital Photo Layer- 34" x 44"	\$63.00	\$65.00	100.0%
Animal Control	Enforcement/Dog License Fees-Sterilized	\$12.00	\$14.00	25.0%
Animal Control	Enforcement/Dog License Fees-Sterilized - Delinquent	\$28.00	\$35.00	25.0%
Animal Control	Enforcement/Dog License Fees-Sterilized - 3 year License	\$32.00	\$33.00	25.0%
Animal Control	Enforcement/Dog License Fees-Un-Sterilized	\$45.00	\$46.00	25.0%
Animal Control	Enforcement/Dog License Fees-Un-Sterilized - Delinquent	\$90.00	\$92.00	25.0%
Animal Control	Boarding Fee for Dangerous Animal	\$11.00	\$22.00	25.0%
Animal Control	Adoption Fee-Dogs(under six years of age)	\$70.00	\$71.00	75.0%
Animal Control	Adoption Fee-Puppies(age-four months or less)	\$105.00	\$106.00	75.0%
Animal Control	Adoption Fee-Cats(under six years of age)	\$60.00	\$61.00	75.0%
Animal Control	Adoption Fee-Kittens(age-four months or less)	\$48.00	\$49.00	75.0%
Animal Control	Animal Redemption-2nd offense	\$48.00	\$49.00	100.0%
Animal Control	Animal Redemption-3rd offense	\$90.00	\$94.00	100.0%
Animal Control	Animal Redemption-after 3rd offense	\$144.00	\$147.00	100.0%
Animal Control	Euthanasia Fee	\$54.00	\$55.00	100.0%
Animal Control	Ten Dog Kennel Inspection Fee	\$100.00	\$125.00	100.0%
Animal Control	Over Ten Dog Kennel Inspection Fee	\$120.00	\$135.00	100.0%
Pros Atty	Diversion - Initial Interview	\$30.00	\$33.00	50.0%
Pros Atty	Diversion-Svc Fee-Misdemeanor Offender	\$400.00	\$420.00	50.0%
Pros Atty	Diversion-Svc Fee-Felony Offender	\$710.00	\$730.00	50.0%
Pros Atty	Costs-eligible convictions - Guilty Plea	\$90.00	\$100.00	75.0%
Pros Atty	Costs for eligible convictions - Trial	\$130.00	\$150.00	10.0%
Sheriff	Costs for Command per hour	51.00	\$55.00	100.0%
Sheriff	Costs for Deputy per hour	51.00	\$55.00	100.0%
Sheriff	Pistol Entry database verification	0.00	\$1.00	

Comm. Health	INS Vaccination Verif Form I-693	\$30.00	\$31.00	100.0%
Comm. Health	MIHP Tran. Bus/Van (max)	\$27.85	\$29.72	100.0%
Comm. Health	MIHP - Trans Taxi (max)	\$25.81	\$27.18	100.0%
Comm. Health	MIHP Trans. Volunteer (per mile)	\$0.28	\$0.29	100.0%
Comm. Health	Compreh Envir Investigation	\$240.00	\$255.00	100.0%
Comm. Health	Assessment of Home	\$100.00	\$105.00	100.0%
Comm. Health	Immigration Physical Exams	\$160.00	\$165.00	100.0%
Imm. Clinic	Internat'l Travel Consult	\$37.00	\$39.00	75.0%
Imm. Clinic	Influenza (including Admin)	\$32.00	\$34.00	75.0%
Med Examiner	Cremation Permits	\$20.00	\$22.00	100.0%
Med Examiner	Autopsy Report Copies (family)	\$13.00	\$14.00	100.0%
Med Examiner	Autopsy Report Copies (others)	\$33.00	\$37.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Fixed Food Svc Estab-Nonprofit	\$225.00	\$250.00	25.0%
Env. Health	Fixed FSE-Profit-Int' Lic incl. 2 hours Plan Rev	\$1,100.00	\$1,150.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Fixed FSE-Profit-Initial Restricted License	\$550.00	\$590.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Fixed FSE-Profit- Initial License (Mobile)	\$390.00	\$415.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Fixed FSE-Profit-Multiple facility renewal lic	\$170.00	\$180.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Renewal License-At least \$750,000 (1)	\$900.00	\$950.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Renewal License-At least \$500,000,less than \$750,000	\$740.00	\$800.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Renewal License-At least \$250,000,less than \$500,000	\$570.00	\$620.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Renewal License-Less than \$250,000 (1)	\$405.00	\$425.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Seasonal Renewal License- Gross sales exc. \$750,000	\$550.00	\$590.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Seasonal Renewal License-\$500,000-\$750,000	\$450.00	\$480.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Seasonal Renewal License, FSE-\$250,000-\$500,000	\$350.00	\$370.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Seasonal Renewal License, FSE-less than \$250,000	\$250.00	\$265.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Seasonal Renewal License, FSE-Non-profit	\$85.00	\$92.00	50.0%
Env. Health	FSE - DOE Schools Program - Production Kitchen	\$425.00	\$450.00	50.0%
Env. Health	FSE - DOE Schools Program - Satellite Kitchen	\$270.00	\$300.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Change of Ownership of FSE	\$320.00	\$350.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Fixed FSE - Initial License, Nonprofit	\$220.00	\$250.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Initl Lic Fee Exmpt(plan rew only) Govt	\$185.00	\$200.00	50.0%
Env. Health	FSE - Indigent-late renewal - additional	\$115.00	\$120.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Public Pool Inspection	\$185.00	\$200.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Each add'l pool at same location	\$95.00	\$100.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Pool Reinspection (after violation)	\$95.00	\$100.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Child&Adult Care Fac Ins-Full	\$185.00	\$190.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Child&Adult Care Fac Ins.-W&S	\$115.00	\$125.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Child & Adult Care Fac non-compl W&S	\$95.00	\$100.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Child & Adult Care Plan Review	\$335.00	\$350.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Initial STFU license Incl. Plan Review	\$295.00	\$320.00	50.0%
Env. Health	STFU late inspection request	\$190.00	\$200.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Special food svc estab surchrg 2nd step of formal	\$210.00	\$220.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Special food svc estab surchrg 3rd step of formal	\$420.00	\$450.00	50.0%
Env. Health	STFU in season inspection	\$105.00	\$110.00	50.0%
Env. Health	STFU Renewal	\$105.00	\$110.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Tattooing Business Initial License	\$730.00	\$750.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Tattooing Lic-late renewal-additional	\$115.00	\$120.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Tattooing w/o initial license/reinstatement of	\$475.00	\$500.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Tattooing non-compliant with inspection per hr	\$95.00	\$100.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Temp FSE - Non-Profit (2)	\$100.00	\$120.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Temp Nonprf FSE-Ops Beg Bef Licg (double)	\$180.00	\$190.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Temp FSE- Preparation Type (2)	\$190.00	\$200.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Temp FSE-Ops Began Before Licg (double)	\$370.00	\$400.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Temp FSE-each add'l lic. after 2 at 1 loc	\$63.00	\$66.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Vending-1-3 Licensable Mach. in Same Loc.	\$70.00	\$74.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Vending-4-6 Licensable Mach. in Same Loc.	\$90.00	\$95.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Vending-7-10 Licensable Mach. in Same Loc.	\$120.00	\$130.00	50.0%
Env. Health	Vending-Larger Location (First 10 machines)	\$120.00	\$125.00	50.0%

Env. Health	Sewage Only (new)	\$470.00	\$500.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Well Only (new)	\$470.00	\$500.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Vacant Land Evaluation	\$465.00	\$500.00	100.0%
Env. Health	On-Site Sewage repair/replace	\$450.00	\$480.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Repair - Well	\$170.00	\$180.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Altern On-site Sewage Syst Plan Reww	\$355.00	\$380.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Appeals Board Fee	\$35.00	\$36.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Hourly Rate Over Standard Service	\$95.00	\$102.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Subdivision Evaluation of Preliminary Plat	\$185.00	\$200.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Bathing Area Operational Permit	\$185.00	\$200.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Reinstmt of bathing area permit	\$95.00	\$102.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Sanitary Surv for Prop. Bathg Beach	\$365.00	\$400.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Loan Evaluation-Residential Premises	\$255.00	\$275.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Munic Requ Eval. of Well/Septic	\$95.00	\$102.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Septic or Well ownershp trsfr,not installed at time of	\$160.00	\$170.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Septic tank repair or replacement inspection fee	\$240.00	\$260.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Campground Inspection 0-99 Sites	\$125.00	\$135.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Campground Inspection 100-199 Sites	\$190.00	\$205.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Campground Inspection 200+ Sites	\$250.00	\$270.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Collection of water samples for Type II Non-	\$95.00	\$102.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Type II Non Community-Sanitary Survey-flat rate	\$380.00	\$400.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Board of Health appeal fee	\$105.00	\$115.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Reinstatemt of Susp FSE	\$470.00	\$500.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Surchrge-Fail submit plans/chg own	\$470.00	\$500.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Surcharge-Fail of applic - vending	\$160.00	\$170.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Critical Follow-up Inspection fee	\$110.00	\$120.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Reinstmt of Susp Tattooing License	\$175.00	\$190.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Point of Sale- appl processing fee	\$165.00	\$175.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Point of Sale- on site evaluation well & waste	\$325.00	\$350.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Waste Treatment Inspection by ICHD (excludes pumping	\$190.00	\$200.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Well Inspection by ICHD (includes water samples for	\$140.00	\$145.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Point of Sale- follow up/hr.- septic only	\$90.00	\$95.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Point of Sale- follow up/hr.- well only	\$90.00	\$95.00	100.0%
Env. Health	Point of Sale- Annl Inspector renwl fee	\$110.00	\$115.00	100.0%
Health Ed.	License- Tobacco Sales- 1yr.- Retailer	\$270.00	\$280.00	100.0%
Health Ed.	License- Tobacco Sales- 1yr.- Vend. Mach.	\$270.00	\$280.00	100.0%
Health Ed.	Temporary Sampling Permit Fee	\$105.00	\$110.00	100.0%
OYC	Consultation Request (per hr.)	\$58.00	\$61.00	100.0%
OYC	Agency Training Request- Base, 1.5 hr.	\$170.00	\$180.00	100.0%
OYC	Agency Training Request- Base, 2.5 hr.	\$280.00	\$300.00	100.0%
OYC	Agency Training Request- Base, 3.0 hr.	\$340.00	\$370.00	100.0%
OYC	Agency Training Request- Base, 5.0 hr.	\$560.00	\$620.00	100.0%
OYC	Agency Train. Request- Base, 1.5 hr, each	\$12.00	\$13.00	100.0%
OYC	Agency Train. Request- Base, 2.5 hr, each add.	\$17.00	\$18.00	100.0%
OYC	OYC-Advertised Train.- 1.5 hr./per person (min. 15	\$23.00	\$24.00	100.0%
OYC	OYC-Advertised Train.- 2.5 hr./per person (min. 15	\$29.00	\$30.00	100.0%
OYC	OYC-Advertised Train.- 5.0 hrs./per person (min. 15	\$58.00	\$61.00	100.0%
OYC	OYC - Advanced Training - 10 hrs./per person	\$85.00	\$93.00	100.0%
OYC	OYC - Administrator Training - 16 hrs./per person	\$155.00	\$170.00	100.0%
Vet. Affairs	County User Fee	\$17.37	\$20.52	100.0%

ATTACHMENT B: FEES FOR WHICH AN ADJUSTMENT IS RECOMMENDED

Location				
of	Fee	2009	2010	Target
Service	Description	Fee	Fee	Percent
District Court	Pre-Sentence Reports	\$0.00	\$100.00	100.0%
Family Division	Delinquency Costs	\$155.00	\$150.00	100.0%
Family Division	Tether	\$10.00	\$15.00	25.0%
Family Division	Traffic	\$80.00	ticket fee sched	50.0%
Family Division	Traffic - Fail to Appear	\$23.00	\$24.00	25.0%
FOC	FOC Bench Warrants	\$200.00	\$225.00	100.0%

2010 County Fees Analysis
Judiciary Committee

FEEES PROPOSED TO INCREASE ARE IN BOLD

Location of Service	Fee Description	2009 Cost	2010 Cost Increase Factor	2010 Cost	Target Percent	2009 Fee	2010 Calc. Fee	2010 Initial Prop. Fee	Units Per Study	Department Recommend.	Controller Recommend.	Additional Revenue
All Courts	Work Release (1)	\$24.40	-0.39%	\$24.31	100.0%	\$24.00	\$24.31	\$24.00	5,250	\$24.00	\$24.00	\$0
												\$0
District Court	Civil	\$94.74	7.09%	\$101.45	50.0%	Varies	\$50.73	Varies	5,210	Varies	Varies	
District Court	Community Service	\$10.85	7.09%	\$11.62	100.0%	\$0.00	\$11.62	\$11.00	600	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0
District Court	Felonies	\$152.76	7.09%	\$163.59	0.0%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	684	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0
District Court	Pre-Sentence Reports	\$183.69	7.09%	\$196.71	100.0%	\$0.00	\$196.71	\$190.00	100	\$100.00	\$100.00	\$10,000
District Court	Preliminary Breath Test (PBT)	\$5.42	7.09%	\$5.80	100.0%	\$0.00	\$5.80	\$5.00	1,750	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0
District Court	Probation Oversight	\$96.73	7.09%	\$103.59	100.0%	\$45.00	\$103.59	\$55.00	5,220	\$45.00	\$45.00	\$0
		/Month		/Month		/Month	/Month	/Month		/Month	/Month	
District Court	Traffic/Criminal	Varies	7.09%	Varies	100.0%	Varies	Varies	Varies	25,615	Varies	Varies	
District Court	Traffic	\$215.13	7.09%	\$230.38	50.0%	Varies	\$115.19	Varies	1,775	Varies	Varies	
Circuit Court	Copies (2)	\$4.00	5.71%	\$4.23	25.0%	\$1.00	\$1.06	\$1.00	2,000	\$1.00	\$1.00	\$0
Circuit Court	Criminal Histories	\$9.09	5.71%	\$9.61	100.0%	\$9.00	\$9.61	\$9.00	2,000	\$9.00	\$9.00	\$0
Circuit Court	Felony Case Costs	\$609.24	5.71%	\$644.05	100.0%	\$575.00	\$644.05	\$600.00	684	\$575.00	\$575.00	\$0
Circuit Court	Show Cause - Probation	\$384.15	5.71%	\$406.10	100.0%	\$75.00	\$406.10	\$100.00	377	\$75.00	\$75.00	\$0
Family Division	Delinquency Costs	\$358.77	2.73%	\$368.57	100.0%	\$155.00	\$368.57	\$165.00	1,725	\$150.00	\$150.00	(\$8,625)
Family Division	In-Home Detention	\$35.07	2.73%	\$36.03	0.0%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	7,000	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0
Family Division	Intensive Probation	\$829.52	2.73%	\$852.18	0.0%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	970	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0
Family Division	Regular Probation	\$137.42	2.73%	\$141.18	0.0%	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	5,292	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0
Family Division	Tether	\$120.71	2.73%	\$124.00	25.0%	\$10.00	\$31.00	\$15.00	2,625	\$15.00	\$15.00	\$13,125
												\$0
Family Division	Traffic (3)	\$196.56	2.73%	\$201.93	50.0%	\$80.00	\$100.96	\$100.00	1,775	ticket fee sch	ticket fee sch	
Family Division	Traffic - Fail to Appear	\$94.03	2.73%	\$96.60	25.0%	\$23.00	\$24.15	\$24.00	355	\$24.00	\$24.00	\$355
FOC	FOC Bench Warrants	\$439.22	15.88%	\$508.96	100.0%	\$200.00	\$508.96	\$250.00	1,200	\$225.00	\$225.00	\$30,000
TOTALS												\$44,855

(1) Courts ordering work release are encouraged to recognize that the cost of administering work release at the jail has been calculated at \$24.00 per day.

(2) As recommended by Maximus and the Board of Commissioners, the Circuit Court planned to set copy costs at \$5.00 for the first ten pages and \$0.50 for each additional page. The Circuit Court submitted an Administrative Order to the State Court Administrative Office (SCAO) to set the fees at those rates. SCAO ruled the fees to be excessive. The Circuit Court and the SCAO came to an agreement regarding the copy fees as stated in the above table.

(3) Although this was set at a flat rate in previous years, Family Court has always used this fee schedule.

RESOLUTION STAFF REVIEW

DATE May 05, 2009

Agenda Item Title: Resolution to Transfer the Jury Administration Duties from the Ingham County Management Information Systems Department to the Thirtieth Judicial Circuit Court and to Appoint the Circuit Court Administrator as the Ingham County Jury Administrator

Submitted by: Controller/Administrator's Office

Committees: LE ____, JD X ____, HS ____, CS X ____, Finance X __

Summary of Proposed Action: This Resolution will transfer the duties of Jury Administration from the MIS Department to the Circuit Court. It will also appoint the Circuit Court Administrator as the Ingham County Jury Administrator.

Financial Implications: Because the duties of Jury Administration were ½ of a full time position in MIS, two ½ time positions will be hired. One of the positions will be transferred to the Circuit Court and the other ½ time position will remain with MIS. It is anticipated that the efficiency of the transfer will result in a savings to the County. There will be no increase in cost to the County for FTEs.

Other Implications: The Jury Administration duties will be physically transferred to the Veterans Memorial Courthouse (VMC) and will provide greater efficiency for the Courts.

Staff Recommendation: MJM X JN X TL ____ TM ____ JC ____
Staff recommends approval of the resolution.

Agenda Item 1b

Introduced by the Judiciary, County Services and Finance Committees of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO TRANSFER THE JURY ADMINISTRATION DUTIES FROM THE INGHAM COUNTY MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEPARTMENT TO THE THIRTIETH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT COURT AND TO APPOINT THE CIRCUIT COURT ADMINISTRATOR AS THE INGHAM COUNTY JURY ADMINISTRATOR

WHEREAS, the Board of Commissioners has the authority, under MCL 600.1303, to appoint assistants to the County Jury Board; and

WHEREAS, the Jury Administration has been assigned to the County Management Information Systems Department (MIS) and one of the primary staff members involved in this function has recently retired; and

WHEREAS, the County Controller/Administrator has recommended reassigning these responsibilities to the Thirtieth Judicial Circuit Court, as a more efficient method of fulfilling these functions; and

WHEREAS, Chief Circuit Court Judge William Collette is agreeable to assuming these responsibilities.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby appoints the Circuit Court Administrator as the Ingham County Jury Administrator, with all the duties and responsibilities inherent in that position, effective as of the date of this Resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Circuit Court Administrator, and those Deputy Clerks who may be designated, are authorized to assist the County Jury Board and administer the County Jury System as may be required by law.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a .5 FTE position shall be transferred to the Circuit Court from the MIS Department to provide staffing for these additional responsibilities in the Circuit Court, and the Controller/Administrator is hereby authorized to transfer the budget allocated to the Jury Board from the MIS Department to the Circuit Court.

RESOLUTION STAFF REVIEW

DATE May 15, 2009

Agenda Item Title: Resolution Affirming the Powers of the Jury Board

Submitted by: Judiciary Committee

Committees: LE____, JD X, HS____, CS____, Finance____

Summary of Proposed Action: This Resolution states that the position of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners is that the Ingham County Jury Board is the preeminent authority for all aspects of the identification, assemblage, and empanelment of qualified jurors in Ingham County as required by State Statute. Furthermore, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners declares that it looks to the Jury Board to assume a supervisory role over the process of selecting, qualifying, and assigning jurors including the process of following up with potential jurors who fail to discharge their legal responsibilities to reply to juror questionnaires and summonses. Finally, the Resolution states that recommendations from the Jury Board regarding changes to the County's processes and rules shall be presented to the Judiciary Committee for implementation.

Financial Implications: No direct financial impact, although the goal of the Ingham County Board of Commissioners and Jury Board is to minimize the costs in time to fill out required information, child care fees, time away from work or school, etc. to Jurors and potential Jurors, while at the same time insuring the identification, assemblage and empanelment of qualified Jurors in Ingham County as required by State Statute.

Other Implications: This should be viewed as a companion Resolution to the proposed Resolution "Resolution to Transfer the Jury Administration Duties from the Ingham County Management Information Systems Department to the Thirtieth Judicial Circuit Court, and to Appoint the Circuit Court Administrator as the Ingham County Jury Administrator" also being debated by the Board of Commissioners to improve the administrative portion of the Jury process.

Staff Recommendation: MJM X JN X TL ____ TM ____ JC ____
Staff recommends approval of the resolution.

Introduced by the Judiciary Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION AFFIRMING THE POWERS OF THE JURY BOARD

WHEREAS, until 2001 the Jury Board was appointed by the Circuit Court and treated as having only a minor and ceremonial role in the selection of jurors; and

WHEREAS, PA 454 of 2000 transferred the appointment power to the Board of Commissioners; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Judiciary Committee has heard testimony over the past several years on the importance of the juror system as one of the pillars of a fair, impartial and efficient Justice System in Ingham County; and

WHEREAS, the jury selection process has not been reviewed by some Ingham County Courts in several years; and

WHEREAS, new technologies and standard practices have shown to save funds, enhance the number of individuals into the jury pool, and saves jurors time and inconvenience; and

WHEREAS, under the supervision of the Jury Board, considerable progress has been made over the past five years to remedy some of the identified problems; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Judiciary Committee has reviewed several suggestions for improvements to the processes associated with the identification, assemblage and empanelment of qualified jurors; and

WHEREAS, the description of the Jury Board's role specified in State Law contains only a general outline of their role and duties; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Judiciary Committee has debated the merits of a transfer of the appointment of the Ingham County Jury Administrator with all the duties and responsibilities inherent in that position.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners hereby states its position that the Ingham County Jury Board is the preeminent authority for all aspects of the identification, assemblage and empanelment of qualified jurors in Ingham County as required by State Statute.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners declares that it looks to the Jury Board to assume a supervisory role over the process of selecting, qualifying, and assigning jurors, including the process of following up with potential jurors who fail to discharge their legal responsibilities to reply to juror questionnaires and summonses.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners requests that all officials and agencies involved in the jury selection, empanelment and oversight process to cooperate with the Jury Board.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that recommendations from the Jury Board regarding changes to the County's processes and rules shall be presented to the Judiciary Committee for implementation.

September 2007 thru August 2008 – Lansing and Mason Circuit Courts

Total number of days jurors were on call was 197. Total number of 'Zero Days' (no jurors called in to court) were 164. So of the 197 possible days jurors were waiting to report to the courthouse, they were only called in 33 days or 17% of the time. A total of 83% of possible days jurors were on call, they were not used. The normal term of service for Lansing and Mason Circuit Courts is one week.

Following is the number of trials by days of the week that jurors were asked to report. It also includes the actual number of jury trials pulled on those days jurors were asked to report.

Monday	74 potential trials	39 were actually pulled which is 53% usage.
Tuesday	25 potential trials	14 were actually pulled which is 54% usage.
Wednesday	1 potential trials	1 trial actually pulled which is 100% usage.
Thursday	19 potential trials	12 were actually pulled which is 63% usage.
Friday	7 potential trials	2 were actually pulled which is 29% usage.

There were 15,350 summons mailed to potential jurors. There were 2,915 of them called in for service. This means that less than 19% of jurors on call actually were called to appear for jury service.

Of the jurors who reported to the courthouse, 1,406 were actually sent to a courtroom for voir dire. This is a 48% courtroom usage rate.

September 2008 thru March 2009 – Lansing and Mason Circuit Courts

Total number of days jurors were on call so far is 136. Total number of 'Zero Days' (no jurors called in to court) has been 97. So of the 136 possible days jurors were waiting to report to the courthouse, they were only called in 39 days or 29% of the time. A total of 71% of possible days jurors were on call, they were not used. The normal term of service for Lansing and Mason Circuit Courts is one week.

Following is the number of trials by days of the week that jurors were asked to report. It also includes the actual number of jury trials pulled on those days jurors were asked to report.

Monday	40 potential trials	22 were actually pulled which is 55% usage.
Tuesday	11 potential trials	8 were actually pulled which is 72% usage.
Wednesday	1 potential trials	1 trial actually pulled which is 100% usage.
Thursday	7 potential trials	5 were actually pulled which is 71% usage.
Friday	1 potential trials	0 were actually pulled.

For this period, there have been 6,800 summons mailed to potential jurors. There have been 1,629 of them called in for service. This means that less than 24% of jurors on call actually were ordered to appear for jury service.

Of the jurors who reported to the courthouse, 811 were actually sent to a courtroom for voir dire. This is a 50% courtroom usage rate.

Suggested Improvements

Our goal should be improving juror utilization not only because it saves time and money, but also because it is better for potential jurors. Improving utilization will not inconvenience the court but simply require calendar coordination.

Shorten the Term of Service

How long a person is on call for jury duty has the greatest effect on people summoned to serve. By shortening their term of service the court decreases the hardship placed on them by reducing the personal and financial hardship, decreasing the hardship placed on their employer and it limits the number of people who would be excused for hardship.

According to the National Center for State Courts (NCSC), The ABA recommendations and the State Court Administrators Office (SCAO), the jury term of service should be the shortest period possible and still meet the needs of the court. By decreasing the period of availability for jurors from the current 5 days to 2 days, the court can run multiple voir dires and increase the utilization of persons required to report for service. They may even choose to pick a jury on one day and have them report back later in the week to begin the trial. With multiple juries being selected in two days, the wait time in the jury room is also shortened for jurors. With staggered trial starts throughout the day, this cuts down on wasted time by court personnel and jurors.

The limited use days in both circuit courts are Wednesday, Thursday and Friday. In 2007-08 fiscal year, almost 60% of the trial were picked on Monday. If you combine the trials picked on Monday and Tuesday, it is 78%. So far the 2008-09 fiscal year, 30 of the 36 trial have been picked on Monday and Tuesday.

Move to a one-step system. It is redundant to use a separate qualification and summoning process. Both processes require printing forms, mail preparation, mailing, screening of responses, list production and data entry. By slightly increasing the number of jurors summoned and eliminating the over 25,000 questionnaires mailed each year (this does not include 2nd and 3rd notices), not only do we keep juror information more up to date, but we are able to cut down on redundancy and the expense of using separate questionnaires and summons's. Furthermore, people who receive a questionnaire by itself will ignore or discard it easier than those who receive an actual summons.

Jury Commissioners. Currently the three jury commissioners meet monthly. By law they are only required to meet once a year for the annual meeting. When you go over the minutes of Board Meetings for the last few years, the main part of business they conduct is to approve the mailing of the next months summons. This function can be done at the Annual Meeting in May. The information on jury numbers and terms of service can be presented to them as an annual document. I recommend an end of the year report be given to them so they are aware of the preceding year's outcome and have them adopt the next years plan. The monthly per diem can be eliminated for eleven of the twelve months currently being paid.

If for political reasons, taking them from twelve to one meeting a year will be problematic, then I suggest you take them to three or four meetings. In the future, you may be able to get them down to the one Annual Meeting which is required by law. Most larger counties who have more sophisticated jury software like Ingham, have the Commissioners meet once yearly. The historical aspect of them coming in more often is still used in a few very small counties who only summons a few hundred people a year. In those cases, the Commissioners actually screen questionnaires and conduct business such as postponements, etc. Ingham County, like most other larger counties, has moved beyond that requirement.

Other Items We Discussed

Number of Jurors Sent to Voir Dire

The current base number of jurors judges want for voir dire is too high. Rarely have they ever used the number sent to them and a few always seem to order more jurors than the base number that are never needed. In actuality a 'normal' civil case with two attorneys should require 17 jurors for voir dire. A 'normal' FH criminal (punishment less than life) requires 27 jurors. A 'normal' FC (punishment can be life) needs 42 jurors. 'Normal' is a case that isn't expected to last beyond the norm, has the normal 2 attorneys, isn't a CSC or child abuse. Good

communication between the courtroom and jury clerk can ensure that extra jurors are sent when these cases are up for trial. From Sept of 2007 through the end of March 2009, there has been one civil case that has used above those numbers. Judge Manderfield is the outlier to this. Pool jurors are excused before actual voir dire begins so that people who are unable for some reason to be seated on the case are excused at the beginning. This raises the number of jurors excused for cause because the entire pool is questioned and people who may not have even been in the jury box are excused. However, even looking at the approach, there have been three FH's which went over the 27 base. It is easily a problem we can work through.

For an exceptionally high number of jurors sent to the courtroom it is a waste of their time and the counties money to be there. A large number of them will never hear their name called. For the county, these are mostly first day reporting jurors who they will be paying without state dollars.

Circuit Court Jury Room and Food Area

A simple and not too expensive way to help make jurors more comfortable is to add some tables to the juror seating area. Currently it is set up like auditorium seating which is very uncomfortable if you have to spend much time there. The use of tables allows jurors to more comfortably set down their coffee, magazine, laptop, etc and wait to be called to a courtroom.

Currently jurors are allowed to leave the jury room and go down the hall to the public food court/vending area. This allows for a potential mistrial if unsuspecting jurors are in the food court along with parties to a case or their family members. It would be wise to have vending machines added to the jury room so that we are doing all we can to avoid a mistrial. Just as a side note – many courts supply free coffee to jurors (you can add a money jar for donations). Venders have been known to donate the commercial grade Bunn coffee makers in exchange for the coffee and vending supplies being ordered from them.

Adding a few televisions and better magazine racks to the jury room is also a way to keep them entertained while waiting. No need to pay costly magazine subscriptions. Add another form of recycling to the county and ask employees to donate their old magazines to the jury room.

With the jury room being a little more comfortable, the judges may chose to have their seated panels report there each day before they are needed in court. It will be more comfortable and the potential exposure to parties in the trial is diminished.

Conclusion

Ingham County Circuit Court can better utilize jurors, save money and still meet the needs of the court. To do this it is recommended:

- The court move to a one-step qualifying and summoning process;
- The court should shorten the term of service to two days and pick all juries for the week on Monday and Tuesday;
- The court can utilize less numbers of jurors for each voir dire and still meet their needs;
- The jury room be made more comfortable with tables, televisions and reading material;
- And jurors be required to stay in the jury room while in the building.

Introduced by the Judiciary Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION TO RECOGNIZE THE IMPLEMENTING CHANGE AND OPPORTUNITIES NECESSARY FOR SUCCESS (I.C.O.N.S.) PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the Implementing Change and Opportunities Necessary for Success (I.C.O.N.S.) Program was created by Juvenile Court Officers Mary Ferranti, Warren Henson, and Tamielco Smith who wanted to engage juveniles in a pro-social activity, utilizing inspirational mentoring combined with the sport of basketball; and

WHEREAS, the I.C.O.N.S. program is recognizing a one year anniversary; and

WHEREAS, the I.C.O.N.S. program teaches juveniles to work as a team, supporting and encouraging one another for success; and

WHEREAS, the I.C.O.N.S. program expends minimal resources with significant benefits due to the dedication of the Family Division staff, who participate in a variety of ways such as coaching, scorekeeping, concessions and as spectators; and

WHEREAS, a V.I.P. of the program is Pastor Stevens of the Great Lakes Baptist Church in Grand Blanc, Michigan who donates time, trophies and serves as a referee; and

WHEREAS, the I.C.O.N.S. program provides a weekly structured activity with participation from court adjudicated youth who, when working as a team, are not engaged in criminal activity or fighting against one another.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Ingham County Board of Commissioners recognizes the efforts of the Family Division staff and Pastor Stevens for the successful implementation of the I.C.O.N.S. program.

Introduced by the Judiciary Committee of the:

INGHAM COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS

RESOLUTION HONORNG MAI-DOUA XIONG

WHEREAS, Mai-Doua Xiong is a senior at Boys Town High School in Omaha, Nebraska; and

WHEREAS, Mai is graduating from this high school having earned many accolades; and

WHEREAS, Mai received the 2009 Volleyball Most Outstanding Award; and

WHEREAS, Mai received the 2009 Female Competing with Character Award; and

WHEREAS, Mai was named the U.S. Marines Distinguished Athlete; and

WHEREAS, Mai was the recipient of the Boys Town National Alumni Association Auxiliary Award; and

WHEREAS, Mai received the Nebraska Centennial Conference Academic Award; and

WHEREAS, Mai is a young lady of exceptional character; and

WHEREAS, the Ingham County Circuit Court/Family Division staff is proud of Mai and all that she has accomplished.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Ingham County Board of Commissioners congratulates Mai-Doua Xiong for graduating from Boys Town High School and serving as a positive role model for all youth.